



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/015,530

12/12/2001

Robert T. Plunkett

046301-046000

7763

70604

7590

09/15/2009

NIXON PEABODY LLP
401 9TH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

LI, AIMEE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2183

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/15/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/015,530	Applicant(s) PLUNKETT ET AL.	
	Examiner AIMEE J. LI	Art Unit 2183	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AIMEE J. LI. (3) Paul Master (Inventor).

(2) Wayne L. Tang (Reg. No. 36,028). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-21 and 23-25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gove (USP 5,212,777).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative, Applicant, and the Examiner discussed the current rejection of the claims, and the differences between the invention and the art cited in the rejection. The Examiner indicated areas with unclear meanings in the claim, such as "heterogeneous computational elements" and "group", which are key differences the Examiner believed were not clearly set forth in the claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Aimee J Li/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2183	
---	--